

How to Prevent Human Trafficking among People Travelling along Migration Routes to Europe

by Claire Healy

Executive Summary

The Strength to Carry On: Resilience and Vulnerability to Trafficking among People Travelling along Migration Routes to Europe analyses the **incidence of human trafficking** among people travelling along migration routes to Europe; **factors of resilience** to trafficking and other abuses; and **factors of vulnerability** to trafficking and other abuses. The study covers the Eastern Mediterranean, Balkan and Central Mediterranean migration routes.

The research findings indicate the significance of **the context of the migration routes** for people's experiences: the **geography** of the routes; and the **policies and practices** applied during different periods in different places. Due to the lack of legal channels for migrating and seeking asylum, and the lack of possibilities to transit regularly along the routes, **almost everyone who travels the routes uses migrant smuggling services**, at least at some point. Migration policies and responses to the situation on the migration routes have focused largely on combating irregular movement and are characterised by border restrictions and fortification, which significantly increases the vulnerabilities of people using the routes.

69 potential trafficking cases were identified in the course of the research, and 14 cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion. A minority of these cases were officially identified by the authorities. People on the move are trafficked for **sexual exploitation**, **labour exploitation and forced migrant smuggling**. The study also shows that deprivation of liberty for extortion involves **the abuse of a person's rights in order to obtain financial or material benefits**.

The main *modus operandi* of traffickers in the context of the migration routes, regardless of whether or not they also provide migrant smuggling services, is **abusing people's position of vulnerability**. This vulnerability arises from their need to use, and to pay for, migrant smuggling, due to the **lack of alternatives for regular travel**.

Evidence-based policy recommendations

To prevent human trafficking, the focus should be on how people can remain resilient to trafficking and other abuses, and on mitigating vulnerabilities and exposure to dangers. These recommendations are derived directly from the findings of this research study, providing evidence-based guidance to policymakers and practitioners, and to people on the move. They should be **implemented** in order to address the urgent need to respond to human trafficking, to resilience and vulnerability to trafficking, and to the protection of the



JULY 2019

rights of adults and children on the move, contributing to overall stability, security and rule of law in the countries under study and the wider region.

The recommendations aim to redirect responses to protect people from intolerable rights abuses, with an approach that first intends to do no harm, and only secondly focuses on migration management. The implementation of some of the recommendations is in line with a **general consensus** about the rights of people on the move among policy-makers and practitioners, while other recommendations require significant **advocacy and political will** in order to become a reality. The intention of this study is to contribute on both fronts to an improvement in the situation portrayed by the research findings.

The Strength to Carry On is the outcome of the research project 'Study on Trafficking Resilience and Vulnerability *en route* to Europe (STRIVE)' funded by the US Department of State Office to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons (J/TIP) and implemented by ICMPD. 91 people on the move and 245 key informants – organisations working directly with people on the move were interviewed during 2018 in Greece, Bulgaria, North Macedonia, Serbia, Hungary, Germany and Italy. This Policy Brief sets out the main recommendations of *The Strength to Carry On*, which can be downloaded in full at: <u>www.icmpd.org/fileadmin/ICMPD-</u> Website/2019/New Strive Study Final.pdf.

1. Expand alternatives for regular travel	
What?	Significantly expand the range of alternatives for regular travel for people on the move,
	including regular migration (e.g., labour migration, family reunification) and regular
	travel for refugees (e.g., resettlement, community sponsorship, humanitarian visas).
Why?	When people can travel safely, swiftly and regularly, they avoid all of the vulnerabilities
	arising from making the journey, including using migrant smuggling services.
Who?	European States; EU bodies, including EASO; international stakeholders, incl. UNHCR.
2. Allow for legal transit along migration routes	
What?	For those who cannot travel regularly, allow legal transit along the routes.
W/by/2	People who can transit legally through transit countries are more resilient to trafficking
Why?	and other abuses. If transit is regularised, they are more likely to trust the authorities.
Who?	EU States; Governments of 'transit countries'; EU bodies; international stakeholders.
3. Ensure timely access to a fair asylum procedure on arrival, or to other alternatives for	
regularisation of people's status in transit and in a destination context	
What?	Provide effective access to all forms of international protection and to regularisation of
wildt!	status in transit, de facto destination and intended destination countries.
Why?	While people are awaiting status determination, and particularly if an asylum application

Recommendations on how to prevent human trafficking among people on the move



JULY 2019

	is refused, or if they are without regular status, they are more likely to be exploited and
	to move on to another country using migrant smuggling services.
Who?	European States; EU bodies; UNHCR; asylum authorities; immigration authorities.
	4. Implement measures to ensure that families can remain together
What?	Ensure that families remain together: in countries of origin, by providing alternatives; in transit countries, by allowing families to travel and reside together, and to reunite if they become separated; and in destination countries, through family reunification. Individually assess members of a group to ensure that those claiming to be family members are in fact related, and to identify any abuses taking place in a family context.
Why?	Children and adults travelling or residing alone are more vulnerable than children and adults travelling or residing together with their families. Children travelling with adults who are not family members are more vulnerable to abuse.
Who?	European States; EU bodies, incl. EASO and Frontex; international stakeholders; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; child protection services; guardians of separated and unaccompanied children; border and coast guard staff.
5. En	sure protection of unaccompanied and separated children transitioning into adulthood
What?	When unaccompanied children 'age out' of protection (when they turn 18), implement a transition phase during which certain child protection measures still apply, until the age of 21. Take their own wishes, decisions and best interests into account.
Why?	When unaccompanied children turn 18, they are no longer entitled to special protection services, and become acutely vulnerable to trafficking and other abuses.
Who?	European States; EU bodies; international stakeholders, including the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; child protection services; guardians of unaccompanied and separated children.
	6. Put in place specific protection measures for girls, boys, women and men
What?	Put in place specific protection measures for girls, boys, women and men, recognising the special needs of women and children, and recognising that men and boys are also vulnerable to abuses, particularly unaccompanied and separated boys.
Why?	Women and girls require special protection measures in order to remain resilient. Also, when men and boys are not considered 'vulnerable groups', they may be denied access to essential services, rendering them more vulnerable to trafficking and other abuses.
Who?	Immigration and asylum authorities; police; child protection services; accommodation centre management; NGOs; guardians of unaccompanied and separated children.
7. Er	sure adequate, accessible and safe accommodation to provide conditions of resilience
What?	Provide humane living conditions, safety and services in accommodation centres, with special protection measures for women, girls, boys, and unaccompanied children. Adults should be in closed centres only as a last resort and children should not be detained. NGOs, translators, cultural mediators, lawyers and social workers should have access to
	all accommodation centres. Security procedures should be in place at centres to make



JULY 2019

	sure that trafficking recruiters are not operating there.
Why?	Risks of trafficking and exploitation for people at accommodation centres may be
	mitigated if living conditions, safety measures and services are adequate. Traffickers
	target accommodation centres as locations for recruiting victims.
Who?	Accommodation centres; private security firms operating at accommodation centres;
	immigration and asylum authorities; child protection services; police; NGOs; lawyers.
8. Provide access to vocational training and decent employment	
What?	Teenagers and adults in intended and de facto destination countries should have access
	to vocational training, studies and regular employment, with measures to promote their
	labour market integration. People working irregularly should have access to justice and
	protection if they suffer labour violations or labour exploitation.
Why?	People in training, studies or decent employment are more resilient to trafficking.
Who?	Vocational training; third-level education; employment services; labour inspectors;
	immigration and asylum authorities; police; employers; trade unions; NGOs.

Recommendations on how to protect people on the move who are trafficked

9. Build the capacities of asylum authorities to identify trafficked people	
What?	Incorporate screening of vulnerabilities, and of potential trafficking cases, into the
	asylum process, by providing specialist training to asylum authorities and through
	procedures for referral. Trafficked people who have a right to international protection
	should have access to both protection mechanisms. Trafficked people who do not have
	an asylum case should be granted residence permits as victims of trafficking.
	The asylum procedure presents an opportunity to identify cases of vulnerability to
W/by2	trafficking and of potential trafficking, which, if taken advantage of, can increase the
Why?	identification of trafficked people among asylum applicants, and ensure that people have
	access to the protection measures and justice that they are entitled to.
Who?	Asylum authorities; EU bodies, including EASO; anti-trafficking stakeholders; migrant
	communities; international organisations.
10. Build capacities of anti-trafficking stakeholders to identify trafficked people among those	
using migration routes	
	Provide specialist training, and put procedures in place, to ensure that people on the
What?	move, including asylum applicants, who have potentially been trafficked, are identified
	and referred to anti-trafficking stakeholders for protection and access to justice.
	Anti-trafficking stakeholders may not have experience of working with asylum and
Why?	immigration authorities to identify trafficked people. If these institutions work better
	together, more trafficked people can be identified and protected.
Who?	Anti-trafficking stakeholders (government and civil society); asylum authorities;
	immigration authorities; migrant communities; international organisations.



JULY 2019

	11. Increase identification and protection of trafficked men and boys
	Ensure that anti-trafficking stakeholders are informed, trained and properly resourced to
What?	screen and identify trafficking cases among men and boys, as well as among women and
	girls, providing victims with protection and bringing traffickers to justice.
Why?	Men and teenage boys may be overlooked in anti-trafficking responses, meaning they
vvnyr	are not identified as trafficked people and do not have access to protection and justice.
Who?	Social workers; NGOs; accommodation centre management; labour inspectors; police;
wnor	prosecutors; judges; migrant communities; border and coast guard staff.
12.	Apply non-punishment provisions to people on the move who have been trafficked
	Ensure that people who have been trafficked for forced migrant smuggling and other
What?	forced criminal activities are not punished for these crimes, by making any legal and
	administrative amendments necessary, and train all relevant stakeholders.
Why?	People who have committed crimes such as migrant smuggling and drug trafficking as a
vviiy:	result of trafficking should be subject to non-punishment provisions.
	European States; EU bodies, incl. EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator, Frontex; prosecutors;
Who?	judges; immigration and asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE;
	Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights.
13. Incorporate special measures related to people on the move into trafficking screening,	
	identification, referral, protection and prosecution mechanisms
	Incorporate specific, adequate measures related to the context of people on the move
What?	into National and Transnational Referral Mechanisms for the protection of trafficked
	people, setting out the roles and responsibilities of asylum, migration and anti-trafficking
	stakeholders. Set up or strengthen bilateral and multilateral mechanisms for
	identification, protection, investigation and prosecution between transit and destination
	countries along the migration routes.
	People on the move who are trafficked may not be identified or protected due to a lack
Why?	of coordination between asylum, migration and anti-trafficking authorities, at national
	and transnational level.
Who?	National Referral Mechanisms; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; anti-
	trafficking stakeholders; social workers; NGOs; police; prosecutors; judges; Europol;
	Interpol; Eurojust; Frontex.

Recommendations on how to bring traffickers to justice

14. Investigate suspected cases of migrant smuggling for indications of trafficking, exploitation	
and abuse	
What?	Focus law enforcement responses on cases of trafficking, exploitation and abuse by
	people providing migrant smuggling services.
Why?	Some people are resilient while using smuggling services, while others are rendered
	vulnerable by their interaction with people providing smuggling services, who intend to



abuse or exploit them. Law enforcement efforts on migrant smuggling cases should focus on severe cases involving human rights violations, including trafficking. Who? Border and coast guard staff; police; prosecutors; judges; Frontex; Europol; Interpol. 15. Combat forced migrant smuggling as a form of human trafficking What? Undertake any necessary legal amendments and ensure anti-trafficking stakeholders are informed, trained and properly resourced to identify cases where people who seem like perpetrators of migrant smuggling are actually victims of trafficking for forced migrant smuggling, to prosecute the actual perpetrators. What? People forced to provide smuggling services may be considered perpetrators rather than victims, may not be identified as trafficked people and may not have access to protection and justice, as well as being held criminally responsible. Perpetrators of forced migrant smuggling are also rarely brought to justice. Who? European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol. What? Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators. What? Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators. Cases o		
Who? Border and coast guard staff; police; prosecutors; judges; Frontex; Europol; Interpol. 15. Combat forced migrant smuggling as a form of human trafficking What? Undertake any necessary legal amendments and ensure anti-trafficking stakeholders are informed, trained and properly resourced to identify cases where people who seem like perpetrators of migrant smuggling are actually victims of trafficking for forced migrant smuggling, to prosecute the actual perpetrators. People forced to provide smuggling services may be considered perpetrators rather than victims, may not be identified as trafficked people and may not have access to protection and justice, as well as being held criminally responsible. Perpetrators of forced migrant smuggling are also rarely brought to justice. Who? European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol. What? Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators. What? Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice. Wha? European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authoriti		abuse or exploit them. Law enforcement efforts on migrant smuggling cases should
15. Combat forced migrant smuggling as a form of human trafficking What? Undertake any necessary legal amendments and ensure anti-trafficking stakeholders are informed, trained and properly resourced to identify cases where people who seem like perpetrators of migrant smuggling are actually victims of trafficking for forced migrant smuggling, to prosecute the actual perpetrators. What? People forced to provide smuggling services may be considered perpetrators rather than victims, may not be identified as trafficked people and may not have access to protection and justice, as well as being held criminally responsible. Perpetrators of forced migrant smuggling are also rarely brought to justice. Who? European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol. What? Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators. Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice. Who? European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN		focus on severe cases involving human rights violations, including trafficking.
What?Undertake any necessary legal amendments and ensure anti-trafficking stakeholders are informed, trained and properly resourced to identify cases where people who seem like perpetrators of migrant smuggling are actually victims of trafficking for forced migrant smuggling, to prosecute the actual perpetrators.Why?People forced to provide smuggling services may be considered perpetrators rather than victims, may not be identified as trafficked people and may not have access to protection and justice, as well as being held criminally responsible. Perpetrators of forced migrant smuggling are also rarely brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol.What?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.Why?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.What?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UNWho?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may	Who?	Border and coast guard staff; police; prosecutors; judges; Frontex; Europol; Interpol.
What?are informed, trained and properly resourced to identify cases where people who seem like perpetrators of migrant smuggling are actually victims of trafficking for forced migrant smuggling, to prosecute the actual perpetrators.Why?People forced to provide smuggling services may be considered perpetrators rather than victims, may not be identified as trafficked people and may not have access to protection and justice, as well as being held criminally responsible. Perpetrators of forced migrant smuggling are also rarely brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol.What?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.Why?Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN		15. Combat forced migrant smuggling as a form of human trafficking
What? like perpetrators of migrant smuggling are actually victims of trafficking for forced migrant smuggling, to prosecute the actual perpetrators. Why? People forced to provide smuggling services may be considered perpetrators rather than victims, may not be identified as trafficked people and may not have access to protection and justice, as well as being held criminally responsible. Perpetrators of forced migrant smuggling are also rarely brought to justice. Who? European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol. What? Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators. Why? Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice. Who?: European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN		Undertake any necessary legal amendments and ensure anti-trafficking stakeholders
Why?like perpetrators of migrant smuggling are actually victims of trafficking for forced migrant smuggling, to prosecute the actual perpetrators.Why?People forced to provide smuggling services may be considered perpetrators rather than victims, may not be identified as trafficked people and may not have access to protection and justice, as well as being held criminally responsible. Perpetrators of forced migrant smuggling are also rarely brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol.What?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.Why?Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN	\A/h = + 7	are informed, trained and properly resourced to identify cases where people who seem
Why?People forced to provide smuggling services may be considered perpetrators rather than victims, may not be identified as trafficked people and may not have access to protection and justice, as well as being held criminally responsible. Perpetrators of forced migrant smuggling are also rarely brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOS; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol.What?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.What?Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOS; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN	vvilat:	like perpetrators of migrant smuggling are actually victims of trafficking for forced
Why?than victims, may not be identified as trafficked people and may not have access to protection and justice, as well as being held criminally responsible. Perpetrators of forced migrant smuggling are also rarely brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol.What?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.What?Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN		migrant smuggling, to prosecute the actual perpetrators.
 Why? protection and justice, as well as being held criminally responsible. Perpetrators of forced migrant smuggling are also rarely brought to justice. European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol. What? Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators. Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice. European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN 		People forced to provide smuggling services may be considered perpetrators rather
who?protection and justice, as well as being held criminally responsible. Perpetrators of forced migrant smuggling are also rarely brought to justice.who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol.what?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.what?Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN		than victims, may not be identified as trafficked people and may not have access to
Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol.What?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.What?Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN	why?	protection and justice, as well as being held criminally responsible. Perpetrators of
Who?prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol.What?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.What?Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN		forced migrant smuggling are also rarely brought to justice.
 Who? NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol. 16. Combat deprivation of liberty for extortion What? Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators. Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice. Who? European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN 	Who?	European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex;
NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol.16. Combat deprivation of liberty for extortionWhat?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.What?Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN		prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers;
16. Combat deprivation of liberty for extortionWhat?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.What?Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN		NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN
What?Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.Why?Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN		Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol.
What?resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.Why?Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN	16. Combat deprivation of liberty for extortion	
who?resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.Who?Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking. People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN	W/bat2	Make any necessary legal amendments and ensure stakeholders are trained and
Why? People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice. who? European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN	what:	resourced to identify deprivation of liberty for extortion and prosecute perpetrators.
who? European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN		Cases of deprivation of liberty for extortion may meet the definition of human trafficking.
Who?European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex; prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN	Why?	People who have suffered this human rights abuse are not generally identified as victims
Who?prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers; NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN		and and the perpetrators are not brought to justice.
Who? NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN	Who?	European States; EU bodies, including the EU Anti-Trafficking Coordinator and Frontex;
NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN		prosecutors; judges; immigration authorities; asylum authorities; police; social workers;
Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol.		NGOs; UNODC; OSCE; Council of Europe; UN High Commissioner for Human Rights; UN
		Committee on the Rights of the Child; Europol; Interpol.

Contact Information

For more information please contact:

Dr. Claire Healy

Anti-Trafficking Programme

International Centre for Migration Policy Development (ICMPD)

Gonzagagasse 1, 5th floor

1010 Vienna, Austria

Tel: +43 1 504 46 77 2318

Fax: +43 1 504 46 77 2375

Email: claire.healy@icmpd.org

ICMPD 2019. All rights reserved. Short sections, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted in the original language without explicit permission provided that the source is acknowledged. Opinions expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) alone.

International Centre for Migration Policy Development